

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/471,460	12/22/1999	Thomas A Figura	94-0280.03	7429
7.	590 04/28/2005		EXAMINER	
CHARLES BRANTLEY			LEE, CALVIN	
MICRON TECHNOLOGY INC 8000 S FEDERAL WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL STOP 525			2818	
BOISE, ID 83716			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

87

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/471,460	FIGURA et al.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lee, Calvin	2818			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Marc	ch 28, 2205 (Appeal Brief) .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 45 and 46 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45 and 46</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) 🔲 🤈	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🔲 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
_a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Page 2

FIGURA et al.

Docket No: 94-0280.03

OFFICE ACTION

Opening Comments

1. After a closer review of the Appeal Brief dated 03/28/2005 and after further search related arts, the examiner has found at least another reference, US 5,204,288 to *Marks et al*, which would read on claims 45 and 46. Therefore, following is a new ground of rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marks et al Marks et al (US 5,204,288) discloses a method of providing a material 20a, 20b in a site between metal features 14, 15 on a wafer 10 [Fig. 9], comprising the steps of:
 -performing a deposition of the material on the wafer in a site [col. 9, ln.48];
 -etching the material in the same general site used to perform the deposition [col. 9, ln.50], wherein the step of etching further comprises etching generally simultaneously with performing the deposition [col. 10, ln.30].

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Marks et al*, as applied to claim 45, in view of *Bredbenner et al (US 4,919,748)*.

Marks et al is silent about depositing a polymer on the wafer. Nevertheless, such polymer formation on a semiconductor wafer is known in the semiconductor processing art as evidenced by Bredbenner et al disclosing the same method of providing a material 23 in a site between metal features 13 on a wafer 11 [Fig. 3], wherein the material is also a polymer [col. 3, ln.12].

Application No: 09/471,460 Page 3

Docket No: 94-0280.03 FIGURA et al.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the process of *Marks et al* by utilizing a polymer material between metal features for the purpose of providing better "protection from etchant species for metal sidewall."

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 45 and 46 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection above.

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Lee at (571) 272-1896 on Mondays thru Thursdays 6:30-4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Art Unit 2818's Supervisory Patent Examiner David Nelms can be reached at (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization (where this application is assigned to) is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system at http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197.

Date: April 27, 2005

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800